



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,336	09/04/2003	Hyang-Kyun Oh	O2MICRO 99.06 CON2	6108
32047	7590	02/04/2005		EXAMINER
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC 55 SOUTH COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101			KIM, PAUL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/655,336	OH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul L Kim	2857	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 21-27 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 21-27 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 22 and 24 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-22-09

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 22 recites the limitation "said pinout arrangement of said second expansion card". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. Also claim 24 recites the limitation "said passive adaptor", while independent claim 23 recites "an adaptor". Either the term "passive" needs to be deleted from the limitation in claim 24 or that term needs to be added in the limitation in claim 23. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cyras et al.

With regard to claim 21, Cyras et al teaches an adaptor to read an IC card or "Smart Card" comprising: a housing that receives a Smart Card and has a pinout arrangement adapted to interface with a PCMCIA socket (fig. 3) and a circuit adapted to control selected signal lines of the pinout arrangement to permit a Smart Card controller to read and operate the Smart Card through the PCMCIA socket (fig. 1, part 18 & col. 6, lines 5-18).

With regard to claim 22, Cyras et al teaches an expansion card comprising a PCMCIA Type II/III arrangement (col. 6, lines 43-53).

With regard to claims 23, 25, and 27, Cyras et al teaches an adaptor that reads and operates Smart Cards comprising: a controller that comprises circuitry for detecting, operating, and providing communications between the smart card controller and smart card and between a smart card and a bus controller using PC card communication protocols (col. 5, lines 61+), and an adapter providing operability of smart card in a PCMCIA type II/III socket and pinout arrangement (fig. 1, part 18 & col. 6, lines 5-18).

With regard to claim 24, Cyras et al teaches the adaptor comprising a circuit and a connector, the circuit connected to the connector and operating the first expansion card and generating signals operable through the socket (col. 6, lines 5-7).

With regard to claim 26, Cyras et al teaches an expansion card type being a memory card (col. 2, lines 6-9).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kataoka et al and Cobb both teach a method for adapting a Smart Card through a PCMCIA interface. Harari et al teaches a removable


mother/daughter peripheral card. Okada et al and Corder both teach interfacing a peripheral device into a PCMCIA slot.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PK
January 24, 2005


MARC S. HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800